

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,477	07/02/2003	Mark W. Cheng	NC34623 (9021.159)	3129
7590 05/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Scheef & Stone, L.L.P. Suite 1400			HARPER, KEVIN C	
5956 Sherry La	ne		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75225			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/612,477	CHENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAIL IND DATE SILL	Kevin C. Harper	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.	2 July 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	. · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 18</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2004 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞r 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) 'Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-14 are objected to because in claim 1, lines 18-19, the repetition of "responsive to receipt of the H-ARQ status response" should be removed.

2. Claims 12-14 are objected to because claim 12 does not end in a period.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johansson et al. (US 6,473,399) in view of Lee et al. (US 6,731,623).

3. Johansson discloses a method of a radio communication system having a sending station and a receiving station (figs 1 and 3), where data is formatted at an upper level logical layer into

Application/Control Number: 10/612,477 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

an upper level data frame (fig. 4, RLC) and is provided to a lower level logical sublayer for formatting into a lower level data frame (fig. 4, MAC or physical layer; fig. 5). The lower level logical layer uses ARQ feedback (col. 3, lines 34-37) and the receiving station has a retransmission timer (fig. 6, start EPM timer) for timing a period commencing when a missing upper-level frame is detected at the receiving station (fig. 6, timer starts when SACK is transmitted). The sending station selectably sends the upper frame at a first data rate and retransmits segmented portions of the upper level frame at a second reduced data rate (fig. 6, transmission rate reduced before PDUs 1 and 2 are sent). The method comprises detecting reception at the receiving station of retransmission of the upper level data frame at the second reduced rate (fig. 6, EPC = 1 and EPC = 2) and resetting the retransmission timer when the upper level frame is retransmitted in segmented portions (fig. 2, steps 24-28 and 36; col. 2, lines 43-44 and 50-61). However, Johansson does not disclose that the receiving station uses H-ARQ. Lee discloses using H-ARQ in place of ARQ in a radio communication system (col. 2, lines 55-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use H-ARQ in the invention of Johansson in order to increase system throughput (Lee. col. 3, lines 39-40).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-14 would be allowable if the above claim objections are overcome.
- 5. Claims 15-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,477 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

6. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Das et al. (US 2002/0152342) discloses retranmitting sub-packets at a selected data rate (fig. 1, step 140; claims 15 and 18). Cheng et al. (US 2002/0191544; para. 46) and Khan et al. (US 2003/0214935; para. 17) each discloses aborting a lower layer HARQ transfer and then sending an RLP NAK.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:30 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on

Application/Control Number: 10/612,477

Art Unit: 2666

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

May 1, 2005

Seema S. Rao SEEMA S. RAO 5/2/05

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600